CITY OF SOUTHFIELD

PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

Council Chambers - Southfield, Michigan

Wednesday, January 29, 2025 - 6:30 p.m.

Video recording transcribed by Janice P. Yates, CER-9181

COMMISSION MEMBERS AND STAFF PRESENT:

Jeremy Griffis, Chair

Cynthia Bernoudy, Commissioner

Andrea Storch Gruber, Secretary

Anthony Martin, Commissioner

Robert Willis, Vice Chair

Alex Bollin, Assistant City Planner
Thomas Paison, Deputy City Planner

```
Planning Commission Meeting
 1
 2
    Wednesday, January 29, 2025
 3
                   (At 6:30 p.m., meeting begins.)
                   CHAIR GRIFFIS: This is the city of
 5
         Southfield regular meeting of the Planning Commission,
         January 29, 2025. Can we please stand for the Pledge
         of Allegiance?
 8
 9
                   (Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
10
                   CHAIR GRIFFIS: Can we have a roll call,
11
         please?
12
                   MR. BOLLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Bernoudy?
13
                   MS. BERNOUDY: Present.
                   MR. BOLLIN: Commissioner Griffis?
14
15
                   CHAIR GRIFFIS:
                                   Here.
16
                   MR. BOLLIN: Commissioner Gruber?
17
                   MS. GRUBER: Present.
                   MR. BOLLIN: Commissioner Martin?
18
19
                   MR. MARTIN: Present.
20
                   MR. BOLLIN: Commissioner Willis?
21
                   V. CHAIR WILLIS: Here.
22
                   MR. BOLLIN: Commissioners Goodwin-Dye and
23
         Dr. Stephens-Gunn are excused, but we do have a
2.4
         quorum.
25
                   CHAIR GRIFFIS: Thank you. Do we have a
```

```
1
     motion to approve the agenda?
 2
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Through the Chair?
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yes.
 3
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: I move to approve the
 4
 5
     agenda dated January 29, 2025.
               MS. BERNOUDY: Second it.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I've got a favorable motion
     on the agenda by Commissioner Martin, second by
 8
     Commissioner Bernoudy. All in favor?
 9
10
               FULL COMMISSION:
                                 Aye.
11
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: All right, the agenda
     proceeds as written. First item is -- well, two
12
     items, at 22106 and 22108 West Eight Mile Road.
13
               MR. PAISON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
14
                                                That is the
15
     Eagle Landscaping item that was before you in
16
     December. They have asked for a postponement to a
17
     date uncertain to complete their storm water design.
18
     I did speak to their architect earlier this week, and
19
     they expect to be presenting something to the city
     engineer next week. And once they get the feedback to
20
21
     the city engineer and make any necessary changes, and
22
     the city engineer says that it's a viable solution
23
     that could be approved, then we'll schedule them to
2.4
     come back before this body.
25
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay.
```

1 V. CHAIR WILLIS: One more? 2 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Sure. 3 V. CHAIR WILLIS: Basically a question. When they were here before us, we adjourned the 4 hearing for a period of 30 days to continue the decision we had already made with them. Does this, first an adjournment for 30 days and then an adjournment without date, did that kind of break the 8 continuity of that hearing? Does it? 9 10 MR. PAISON: We will do notices again. 11 V. CHAIR WILLIS: Okay. 12 MR. PAISON: Because when you -- the dates 13 that were in the original notices, we had a date We don't have a date certain now. So, when 14 15 they do come back, if it's, say, March, we will mail 16 notices again and put it in the agenda in the paper 17 again. 18 V. CHAIR WILLIS: And so, upon return in 19 March, we will then have a full hearing? 20 MR. PAISON: Yeah, the question is like the 21 public hearing was held. Technically, you don't have 22 to hold the public hearing again because it's the same 23 But we will do the notices again so the public 2.4 understands that that 30-day postponement did not lead 25 to an action, and that there will be another meeting

at which it'll be heard. 1 So, that's one of those ones where, 2 3 typically, you don't do the public hearing again 4 unless like, say, if they withdrew it and came back a year later; yeah, we'd start over. But it's still more or less the same project. That's when, if the Chair feels that people show up and they want to talk and you want to open it 8 9 for public comment because of the extraordinary 10 nature, you could do so. 11 CHAIR GRIFFIS: During that item? 12 MR. PAISON: During that item. 13 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah. 14 MR. PAISON: But you're not obligated to 15 hold another public hearing. But you could take 16 public comment if you deem it, if the Chair deems it 17 appropriate. And it may be, if for some reason, we 18 have a number of people turn out who want to speak on 19 that item, and it's been ongoing for this long, I 20 would, if I were chairman, probably would make the 21 effort to have the public be heard on the item before 22 you vote on it. 23 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay. Or if there's a 2.4 substantial design change. 25 MR. PAISON: Yeah.

```
1
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Other than just --
               MR. PAISON: But you wouldn't say you're
 2
     opening a public hearing; you would say you'll take --
 3
     you will take public -- additional public comment.
 4
               CHAIR GRIFFIS:
                               Okay.
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                              Okay.
               MR. PAISON: At that time.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I think that sounds good.
 8
 9
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Thank you.
10
               MR. PAISON: We do need a motion and support
11
     for that postponement, though.
12
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Then, we have to do each --
13
     each item, correct, in order?
14
               MR. PAISON: Probably would be best.
15
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: The rezoning and then
16
     special use?
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Okay.
17
18
               MR. MARTIN: My question would be, right
19
     now, both items state public hearing.
20
               MR. PAISON: Yep.
21
               MR. MARTIN: But there would -- that would
22
     only be public comment if necessary?
23
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, the public hearing was
2.4
     -- actually, I looked back at the minutes. The public
25
     hearing was actually held on both items.
```

```
1
              MS. GRUBER: We're not gonna take comment?
 2
               MR. MARTIN: So, yeah.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah, we're gonna vote to
 3
 4
    postpone these.
 5
               MS. GRUBER: Oh, we're going to vote to
 6
    postpone?
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah.
 8
               MS. GRUBER: Okay, yeah.
 9
               MR. PAISON: You're postponing action.
10
              MS. GRUBER: That's exactly what I was --
11
    okay.
12
               MR. MARTIN: Okay. Just trying to clarify
13
     for my own.
14
               MR. PAISON: Yep. Yeah, I did -- because I
15
    knew we held the public hearing on the rezoning. I
16
    had to double check that we also held it on the
17
     special land use. But I went back and looked through
18
     the minutes and the recording, we did actually open
    and close the public hearing on both items. So, that
19
20
    was held.
21
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: So, if there's a no
22
    additional discussion or comments?
23
               MS. BERNOUDY: No.
2.4
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: We're gonna -- we're gonna
25
     look for a motion to postpone to no date certain on
```

```
each of these items in order, you know, two separate
 1
 2
    motions.
 3
               MS. BERNOUDY: I'll make that motion.
 4
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay. So, we got a
     favorable motion on the first item, PZR24-0013, by
 5
 6
    Commissioner Bernoudy.
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Support.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Supported by Commissioner
 8
 9
    Willis of postponing to no date certain. All in
10
     favor?
11
               FULL COMMISSION: Aye.
12
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Any opposed?
13
               (No response.)
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay. So, the first item is
14
15
    postponed to no date certain. Same action on the
16
     second item here, which is PSLU24-0021, looking for
17
    another motion on that one.
18
               MR. MARTIN: To motion -- so motioned.
19
               MR. PAISON: Okay. So, moved.
20
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay. I have a favorable
21
    motion to postpone to no date certain by Commissioner
22
    Martin.
23
              V. CHAIR WILLIS:
                                 Support.
2.4
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Supported by Commissioner
    Willis. All in favor?
25
```

1 FULL COMMISSION: Ave. 2 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Any opposed? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay. That item is also 5 postponed to no date certain while they figure out 6 their engineering. All right. Next item, the 2024 Planning Commission Annual Report. 8 9 MR. PAISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 discussed at the earlier meeting, we presented the 11 kind of initial run on this. This is the annual 12 report required by from the Planning Enabling Act, 13 that the Planning Commission makes regarding the 14 activities of itself and the Planning Department to 15 the legislative body on an annual basis. 16 As noted here on the first slide, it just 17 lists the particular law that requires that annual 18 report. So, just reminding people, like, why do we do 19 this? It's a requirement of the law. It's also good 20 practice. 21 So, we're just going to go over kind of a 22 broad slate of what we do and what we've done this 23 year. As we know, the Planning Commission, this is 2.4 the body as it sits now with its current officers, and 25 then the staff. One of the things that came up in the last discussion was it wasn't -- not everybody knew what everybody did on the staff. So, I actually added a little detail to this.

2.4

We've got City Planner/Planning Director
Croad and myself as the Deputy City Planner. I do
mostly non-administrative reviews, which are things
that go to City Council or Planning Commission. And
then, I also do a lot of Planning Commission, City
Council support, meeting prep for the city planner.

Sarah Mulally, you see her at the CIP meetings. She helps run the CIP. That's her main goal -- job is dealing with administrative reviews of site plans; she's our lead person on that. And she provides support to the Zoning Board of Appeals. She writes the staff reports for commercial requests, and she also organizes and manages the Capital Improvement Program project, which is -- it's a lot. And she's the one who preps the document that gives that real professional look at the end and organizes it and organizes all the departments to get their information in.

Suzanne Yousif, our Sustainability Planner, you probably like remember her name as Suzanne Hannah. She got married this year, so new name. She's working on our Sustainability Action Plan, and works on our

sustainability activities, kind of across the board. 1 She also works on our tree removal permitting and 2 wetland permitting and anything kind of more 3 environmentally related. I assist her with those 4 because of my landscape architecture background. And then we have Alex, our Assistant City Planner, who helps with the prep of this body, helps with City Centre Advisory Board, Southfield Public 8 Arts Commission, grant writing. Alex kind of does 9 10 like whatever needs doing. He's our -- he's on 11 anything that needs getting done, and works also on 12 the non-administrative reviews with me. And then, we have Lisa in our office. 13 14 the administrative assistant. She handles the 15 payroll. So, everybody, you know, your quarterly 16 payments, our payroll, handling accounts payable, 17 purchase orders, you know, all of that administrative 18 work, pretty much. 19 That's the Planning Department in a 20 nutshell. So, we're a small organization. We do a 21 lot of stuff, though. You know, we deal with, 22 actually, if you count this body and the City Council

Commission and the Zoning Board and the Sustainability

and the City Centre Advisory Board and the Arts

Advisory Board, we deal with six different boards

23

2.4

25

regularly. And then, we also deal with the 1 subcommittees of council, and sometimes the Wildlife 2 Commission and other bodies as well. So, we're a 3 4 pretty -- pretty busy group. Now, we are looking at 2024's activities. We had 29 Planning Commission meetings last year. There were 12 study meetings, 13 regular meetings, three long-range study meetings, and the one joint 8 visioning session with City Council in July. We did 9 10 eight City Centre Advisory Board meetings, 10 public 11 Arts Commission meetings, four Sustainability Advisory 12 Board meetings, and 14 Zoning Board of Appeal 13 meetings. 14 All those meetings have a lot of prep that 15 go into them, so we like to list that. I don't think 16 there's any other department that deals with that many 17 bodies and preparing that many meetings in the city, 18 honestly. 19 Looking at our land use projects, just kind 20 of a summary here. Nine standard rezonings, three 21 conditional rezonings, and six of the ODD, RUDD, 22 mixed-use corridor district rezonings, 26 special land 23 uses, 23 site plan reviews, six zoning amendments, and 2.4 97 administrative site plans.

So, that's, you know, we're looking at the

25

proposed value of development, you know, this year,
it's \$180 million. Last year, it was about
\$78 million. The previous year, \$300 million, but
that one's kind of an outlier because \$250 million of
that was just the Middlepointe development across the
street. And then, 2021 was \$174 million.

Normally, and as Mr. Martin had asked, we added the administrative review amounts there, so they would know, you know, that's, of that \$180 million, almost \$24 million of that is adminis -- stuff we're reviewing administratively, small projects, small site improvements, smaller scale items.

2.4

And that, both the number of site administrative site plans and that value will likely increase next year because, you know, we delegated more things to administrative review mid-year, when we adopted that change to site plan review in July, that became effective in August.

Just going over the zoning amendments we did. The first one and biggest one had been in the works for quite some time, was delegating site plan review to this body and more to the City Planner for administrative. That was a requirement for our redevelopment-ready certification with the state.

One of the -- one of the kind of items that

was still holding us up -- which I will say, we've actually gotten the green light that our recertification for redevelopment-ready has been tentatively approved. They haven't made the official announcement or anything, but like our person at MADC that manages our account said that as far as she's concerned, we've completed everything. They're just kind of waiting for final sign-offs in the hierarchy of their organization.

2.4

Marijuana retailer hours, we expanded the weekend hours from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m. to match more or less what was going on in surrounding communities for the two retail outlets that we have in town. Security fences, we permitted decorative security fences up to eight feet tall, with the approval of the city planner, in cases where they were warranted for, you know, uses that required some additional security.

Beekeeping and backyard foul, that one started in October of '23, and we're almost done. It's going back to council this -- in February, for the general ordinance amendment and the zoning amendment, and it would be effective in March. So that one, finally almost done with that one.

The drive-aisle width in parking lots, that was really just to clean up some inconsistent language

and just providing some more flexibility and reducing the minimum requirements, so that we weren't requiring more pavement that was really needed.

2.4

And then, the drive-thru restaurant standards was just a cleanup of we had -- the drive-thru restaurants were allowed in six different commercial districts, and only two of them had these weird special requirements, and even those weren't consistent with each other. So, getting rid of those made it the same across all those zoning districts.

And then, we had some highlights of the projects you guys looked at this year. Obviously, there's the Panda Express on Telegraph on an outlot development. The Culvers, which is another outlook development on Telegraph, that one got its ODD approval last month, just working on the ODD agreement now.

Wellspring housing development on Hooper, over there off of Telegraph and Central Park

Boulevard, Civic Centre Boulevard -- Berg, rather.

That one is -- they're still working on the financing on that one, because there's a stack of financing required for that project, with state incentives. And it's still -- it's proceeding. It's just there's a lot of -- lot of hoops to jump through to get that one

finally financed and out of the ground. They're still hopeful to commence construction this year, though.

2.4

The Tunnel 2 Towers Veterans Village on Northwestern, former Holiday Inn Suites, that conversion, they've already begun work on that. So, that one was approved earlier in the year.

The Harpo Townhomes on Bush Street, that rezoning was approved, and will be going forward. There should be a site plan coming back to you in the not too distant future on that one, for final approvals of the layout. But the rezoning just became effective, like the 23rd. Because, you know, they — the council approves it, then they enact it, then it has to be — then it has to wait 10 days, and then it gets published. Then, it becomes effective. It's kind of a chain of things that happen.

The El Car Wash approval is in place.

They're kind of where, I think they're working through permitting now. I know they're getting -- looking to get their bond in for their art. So, they're going to be proceeding on that one this year for sure.

Drifter Vans, they're in operation. They, you know, they were already operating within their existing site. They've been able to scale up their business. And from what I understand from our

business development person, they are doing quite well.

2.4

Glassman Kia, the project hasn't commenced yet. I don't, you know, that's one of those ones that I don't know if they're still in negotiation with Kia over like the final architecture of the building, because I know there was some back and forth on that. I don't think they've applied for any permits yet, but my understanding is they still intend to do the project, and it should commence in 2025.

The MUC, our first mixed-use corridor district approval on 10 Mile, we met a few -- a couple of weeks back with a local development group that's now partnered with the property owner and the architect that did the design work. They're going through and kind of pricing things, and I would not be surprised to see that project get rescoped a little bit.

If it's a substantial change, it'll have to go back through the process. If it's minor modification, we might be able to do it administratively. But now that they've actually got like a local, like a southeast Michigan developer and construction team on board, and they're looking to actually price things and figure out financing, we'll see how that -- how that

proceeds. But at least we do know that they've brought partners on who are actually looking to build. So, that's promising.

2.4

The Lahser Woods Park dog park, the pathways have gone in. The dog park is going in this year, is my understanding. So, we should see, and I think the initial parking, the phase one parking lot improvements are going in. So, we should see that up and running at some point this year.

And then, a few other items, the Capital Improvement Plan that we organize and handle every year, Sarah handles that. That's, you know, that's kind of a big deal that feeds right into the budget, helps us plan for longer term capital spending.

The LTU Summer Camp, placemaking through landscape design, that we do every year as like an outreach and educational item, is planned to occur again this year if we get enough students signed up.

Nine Mile Greenway Corridor, the task force has been formed under the Oakland County Parks and Rec. They've got a branding campaign that's pretty much nearing completion now. The Prescott to Plum Howell Trail segment has been installed.

The Evergreen to Southfield Road Trail segment has TAP grant funding, but due to some delays

in getting like environmental clearances and other clearances and easement clearance, like right-of-way clearances, it's likely that won't actually be built this year, or it'll be built next year, which they do have like until 2027 to build it. But it's just that we don't have enough clearances to go out for the spring bid in March, so they have to bid it in fall and build it in the spring of 2026.

2.4

So, unfortunately, we'd hoped that it would be under construction this spring, but it looks like because of, we don't -- because it's federal money, we don't have all the boxes checked to get clearance on that yet.

It's not that the TAP grant has been taken back. It's just, you know, there's two biddings that happen with MDOT in the -- that in, you know, that occur in the different points of the year, and this is the bidding for the spring, and we're not going to make that, based on -- well, we're still waiting for clearances from the feds and MDOT.

We do have a TAP grant application in for the north -- the section of Nine Mile between

Northwestern Highway and Greenfield. We think we have a very strong application there. We have really good information and data supporting it. So, we're hoping

to get another TAP grant.

2.4

And then, really the only remaining big piece will be that bridge across the mixing bowl of freeways. So, we're hopeful, if we can get the trail built on either side of it, then the logic behind getting funding to do the bridge becomes, you know, almost undeniably compelling if the funds are available out there for grant monies.

We had some placemaking efforts. We got new art installations this year. The Key by LTU, which is the kind of the tall, skinny one there. Brothers, which is the bottom right, by the fire station at Nine Mile and Beech, some additional murals.

We also started a process of doing a Greenfield Gateway Beautification, kind of as an adjunct to the Northland development and as a gateway to the city. So, you see at the top right, the Greenfield Gateway signage, there's also banners. Landscaping has now been put around those signs, and there's plans to actually extend that, do additional landscaping, but extend that all the way up. It was from 8 to Nine Mile, but they want to actually, with Oak Park, we want to do it up to 10 Mile at this point. So, it's going to be expanded again next year if we can get the funding in place for it.

And then, we also did some additional bus 1 stop improvements, 13 bus stop enhancements, pavement, 2 benches, and trash bins. We did some more solar 3 bollards along the City Centre Trail. We had put in, 4 I believe, 20 in '23. And then, those were working really well, so we did another 10 to make that area a nice lit path. And then, we did some community entry signs 8 where there was -- you were entering Southfield and 9 10 there was no indicator you were coming across the 11 border. So, up in the top right there, the new 12 "Welcome to Southfield" signs that were added. 13 We'll be doing some more of those this year, 14 correct, Alex? 15 MR. BOLLIN: Yeah. 16 MR. PATSON: Yeah. I think we'll have all 17 the entrances pretty well covered after this year. 18 Sustainability highlights, the Sustainability 19 Action Plan, the framework is established, the full 20 draft is nearing preparation. We have engaged a public 21 engagement consultant, who's going to work with the 22 taking the draft of the plan out and getting public feedback for it. That's kicking off next week. 23 2.4 The Food Waste Elimination Study was

actually completed. It's being presented to council

25

next Monday, so that, they're gonna be looking at.

And they've already gotten, the basis of the draft plan that hasn't been adopted yet, they've already gotten -- the nonprofit has gotten a grant to try to pilot some different ways of maybe getting this composting food-waste reduction into like multifamily condos and apartments.

2.4

They're going to be piloting a potential, couple of different potential methods: big belly compactor trash cans are designed for that, or -- and also these inhouse mills that reduce it down to smaller amounts. So, it's interesting that that one is -- and that's all grant funded, and it's gonna be managed by the nonprofit. We're just helping them kind of identify where to put those.

Lawrence Tech University collaborations.

Make Food Not Waste is working with the vending -- the dining hall vendors, trying to get the food waste out of LTU reduced. They -- we've assisted graduate student thesis reviews and presentations. We've advocated for some grant funding for university on their programs, working with their newly formed Environmental Alliance, and ongoing volunteer work with the summer camp and civil engineering perspectives course. So, we're very engaged with LTU,

both on planning and sustainability, as one of our, you know, main institutions here in the city center.

2.4

Education and outreach. We have the reusable grocery bags, which we now have a new version of, that we're using out. We're gonna get some more bamboo kitchen utensils we've distributed in the past. And we've had articles in the City of Southfield Source on sustainability. And our sustainability plan has been working on getting some videos done, so they can be messaged on Cable 15.

And then, we've gotten some certifications this year. Charging Smart Program Bronze Designation because of our updated ordinances and policies related to EV chargers and encouraging them, and having reasonable rules for them to make it like more transparent and easy for people to deploy them and know how to deploy them here.

And then, we have the Michigan Green

Communities Challenge Gold Designation, which that was our first year we got all the way to gold. So, we looked at all the things we've been doing and trying to do, and it turned out we were -- we were ahead of many of our peers, actually.

And then, the United States Conference of Mayors' Climate Protection Award, got an honorable

mention here for Mayor Siver for the city of Southfield.

2.4

So, the sustainable efforts we're making really are, like we have actually now get contacted by some of our neighboring communities, wanting to know, like, learn from us. We're actually ahead of the ball on that. How are we doing things? What did we learn? What are our lessons learned? So we're, this is one of the areas that we're like, kind of a little bit ahead of our peers in this region.

And then, we did get some grant awards and applications. We wanted to feature this, because there's always this question like, are we applying for grants? Well, yeah. Yeah, we apply for grants.

We're putting in a grant application, I would say, almost every month, as much as we can do it.

We got a Detroit Institute of Arts Partners in Public Art Mural Grant, that's going to go -- the mural is going to go on the ice arena. We just met with them today for the next step of that. That's going to happen this year.

We got a -- we did apply for the

Reconnecting Communities Grant. We tried to go for

the money for the big bridge across the freeways, for

the Nine Mile Trail, but that was a limited pool of

money, and there were a lot of really sophisticated applications from larger cities. And we just didn't make the cut in that round, unfortunately. It would have been nice, but, you know, if you don't ask, you don't -- hey, if you don't play, you don't win, right?

MR. MARTIN: That's right.

MR. PAISON: We did get our DTE Energy tree planting grant. That's one we apply for most years, we usually get it. It's an extra \$4000 of trees that we plant when we do our tree plantings in the spring.

The Keep Michigan Beautiful grant is a small grant, but it's a fairly easy one to get and administer, and we use it -- like last year, we used it to pay for part of the pollinator garden at the butterfly sculpture. So, we used -- we leverage those dollars into existing projects.

We did get a technical assistance award from the Michigan Infrastructure Office. They did a like a cost-benefit analysis of like the Nine Mile pathway that went through all of the various ways in which that was actually like a benefit, and the kind of benefits it brought.

And they said like a good project for them typically scores like a one or two. That Nine Mile pathway section that we were looking at scored like a

nine when they ran the numbers on it. Like we were -they'd almost never seen a project score that high.

That's one of the reasons we think we might have a
good shot at that TAP grant for doing that next
section, because we have this professionally prepared
analysis now that shows the amount of benefit,
community benefit, that comes from that project.

Oakland County Access to Transit Program, that was the award that Alex worked on that we got \$200 grand to do additional benches and trash cans and trash cans and bus stop improvements, on top of the \$100,000 we usually do. So, we're going to do like three times as much of that in '24. He's -- you're working on the bids right now --

MR. BOLLIN: Yep.

2.4

MR. PAISON: -- to get that out so that we can get that stuff under construction in the spring.

And then, the '25 Transportation Alternatives Grant,
-- that's that Nine Mile corridor south side from

Evergreen to Southfield -- that's awarded. That's one
we got. So, and that's a big one. That's, you know,
that's 80 percent of the construction cost of that
mile of trail. Those are -- those are big grant
dollars. So, we -- the more of those we can get.

Many of our bigger trail segments have been built with

1 TAP grants. That's where that pool of money is coming 2 for.

2.4

And then, we get into the staff and training. You know, we go annual, the EPA annual conference. Planner Croad usually goes to the national conference every year or every other year. He was at Minneapolis last year. They have a variety of courses on a bunch of different topics.

And then, we also were looking at the -- we have all kind of -- many of us sat through the 2024

Trend Report for planners. I actually just sat through the 2025 one that they just released, or they're just releasing this week.

Interesting stuff, because like the highlights, I think it was seven. The actual Trend Report has like 100 items. Like it's a substantial Trend Report. There's a lot of meat in there. Might even be worth it if we had a slow -- study meeting actually going over that in a little more detail.

Michigan Association of Planning, Alex and Sarah went to that this last year. A number of topics covered there, anything from housing, zoning reform, design practices, sustainability.

The Michigan Association of Planning spring institute, which was on resilience, community

resilience. The Michigan Association of Planning
Transportation Bonanza was about building healthy
community, building communities for health and
accessibility, specifically focused on how you plan
your transportation system.

2.4

And then, a training on the Michigan Zoning Atlas. There's a pilot for that, where they're looking at having an interactive GIS Zoning Atlas for the whole state, where developers could literally go and go, where can I build duplexes in this area? And it would actually show you those locations and whether or not they are special approvals or they're by right, if there's any other restrictions.

It was interesting. I sat through that one, and Grand Rapids did that because they were figuring out -- they figured out they had this huge gap between the amount of housing they needed in the next 10, 20 years, and the amount of housing they were producing. And the Zoning Atlas helped them like figure out why, like what the barriers were to getting there. Like, you know, they're producing say, 10,000 -- they were going to produce 10,000 units over the next 20 years, but they need like 50 based on the projections they've got. And they really had to think about like where could they focus that, and what did they need to do to

make that happen. So, it has its uses. It's interesting.

2.4

The International Council of Shopping

Centers, Planner Croad goes there with the business

development team to talk to potential developers, in

his case as the city planner, like about our processes

and what approvals look like.

State of Michigan, we do a variety of training with them, a lot of training with EGLE on sustainability or environmental items and redevelopment-ready communities.

Oakland County, particularly their planning, zoning, and land use, the planners' gatherings, they do a variety of focus topics that are known to be kind of high priorities in Oakland County. Once again, workforce development, housing, economic outlook, Nine Mile Task Force.

National Council of Architectural

Registration Boards, we had our chair attend some

training there on building design and construction.

So we do, like we will have training with the board, as well as the staff. We like to list all that. We get, and it has been noted in previous presentations to council, like that we do a lot of training, but really there's a lot to keep track -- up

to -- up to date on. You kind of got to start, you do have to pay attention.

2.4

So, we looked at the '25 Planning Commission initiatives. This was discussed with the body a couple of times, and these are the things we're looking at trying to move this year.

For housing zoning amendments. Looking at those accessory dwelling units and tiny homes, looking at infill housing options in single-family districts; one- to four-unit buildings, you know, your duplexes, tris and quads; and then, missing middle housing, which is kind of everything between the larger apartment complexes and the quads.

Like we don't, you know, like we're kind of a built-out community. We need to start thinking about how we're going to do infill and redevelopment, not just new development because we're mostly built out for that first round.

Then, reuse/redevelopment. You know, more mixed-use options; flexibility in development standards; discretion in development approval process, you know, give more discretion to the council if they're approving something, or to this body if they're approving it, or to the city planner if he's approving it; to be able to maybe, without having to

go to the Zoning Board for a waiver, maybe there's a certain amount of flexibility built into the code that allows the approving site plan approval body to go, yeah, we can approve it with this deviation without going through a whole 'nother process.

2.4

Other zoning amendments we're looking at, and we discussed these earlier last year, but I want to circle back to them. Consolidation of similar commercial districts to simplify and streamline the ordinance. You know, as we noted in some of the data analysis I did, a lot of our -- there are zoning districts that only have a handful of properties zoned that, and the differences between those districts are very small. It might make sense to have -- just consolidate them into fewer districts, instead of having, I think it's eight or nine commercial districts, have like three or four, and make them more flexible so that reuse and redevelopment can happen more organically and not be so process-cumbersome.

Consolidation of similar to residential districts, we did note the single-family districts.

We've got five of those, actually six, six of those.

And most of them, if you look at the schedule of regulations, have almost exactly the same requirements. Like, there's only like three really

just differences, and they're pretty minor.

2.4

So, that would be another potential option is like the single-family district could almost collapse from six down to two or three, and still serve the same purpose and have really the same rules. But it would simplify the zoning map and make things a little easier, make the code a little more compact and easier to follow for people.

Public benefit zoning amendments. That's been under discussion, I guess, for a few years. It's another one of those conversations we want to have with council, because we're not quite sure what they're looking for there, because we've had -- what dialog has been had with that, is it just industrial zones? Is it commercial? Is it large residential? Like what are they looking for there? What kind of benefit analysis are they looking for? Like that's one of those ones of like, yeah, we could probably do that, but what does it look like in Southfield?

It's still pretty undefined. It's hard to write an ordinance amendment for something that's not -- you don't understand what the desired outcome is.

So, in my case, I don't even know where to start drafting that, because I don't know exactly what it is they want to accomplish. So, I think we need to have

more dialog with council to find out what their goals are, and then once we understand the goal, we can maybe figure out if there's a way to do that that fits within the law.

2.4

Trails. Once again, City Centre Trail, additional placemaking and solar lights. Nine Mile Greenway corridor, fill those gaps and also layer in some trees and benches, some additional improvements. And Rouge River trail access along the Valley View trail, I know Planner Croad is now in discussions with Oakland County Parks because they're interested in doing some more projects with us in Southfield, after doing, you know, with Beech Woods and some of the other projects they've done, to serve the population down here.

And that's one that like we would really need some assistance to make that happen. It's like, it could be two miles of trail, of like nice nature trail through like the Rouge River corridor. But there's freeways you have to get under in a couple of spots, and that really gets engineering intensive, where you gotta like build some things to make that happen. And that's where the price tag really comes in, is when you interact with those freeways.

And if you want to make it ADA accessible,

some additional engineering has to happen to get those grades to work. But we had an initial study done by OHM, which sort of scoped what would be needed to do to a construction design and what they thought the approximate cost of the project would be. So, we're going to start conversations with Oakland County Parks to see if we can get them to partner with us on that.

2.4

Greenfield Road beautification phase two, as we discussed, some additional layering on that Eight to Nine Mile, expand up to 10 Mile. The dog park at Lahser Woods, you know, we want to get that one moved and done, like we're going to keep poking Parks and Rec and provide them whatever they support they need from us to make that happen.

And Redevelopment Ready Recertification, nearly complete. We've gotten the unofficial approval, but we haven't gotten the official one yet. But now that we have everything in and we have the unofficial approval, that was all due by the end of the year. It was all in by the end of the year, so we should be in good shape.

The seven need to know trends, do you know want to talk about these, Alex?

MR. BOLLIN: Yeah. So, the seven need to know trends, as he mentioned earlier, these are just

the seven highlights out of about 100. As we talked about with the middle -- missing middle housing. We have more housing hurdles, insurance costs, as we see in California and in the south from hurricane season. That's going to continue to go up. We're going to continue to see the climate impacts on housing and population migration as that takes effect.

2.4

Public spaces for dogs, as we just talked about, with the expansion of dog parks in the city. People are wanting more of a third place, instead of what used to be going to the bar as your third place, now they want like to go to the dog park to meet with their friends and have their dog child be able to play.

As we all know, and again, evidenced by the fires in California, that water is precious and it's, you know, limited in some areas. We're lucky here. We've got five fresh-water lakes. As that comes into more people's minds, I'm estimating that more people are going to be coming to Michigan in the future.

As hybrid and work from home evolves, you know, would we see a post work world with AI coming more to the mainstream? There has been talk of a four-day work week for a while; that is gaining some steam. Digital fatigue and pushbacks are setting in.

People are putting down their phones, getting away from social media, touching grass, and enjoying life.

2.4

Fungus is making its 15 minutes of fame. I didn't really touch on this a whole lot, but it's just -- it's getting more popular. Mushrooms in your food -- yeah.

MR. PAISON: Yeah, detoxification of soils.

Apparently it can -- some mushrooms can actually -fungus can actually digest PFAS and break it down into
all safe stuff. So, they're finding like fungus may
be a good way to do environmental remediation. It's
getting into various foodstuffs, and they are even
looking at ways to use the fibers to do construction
materials and to make biofuels.

So, there is -- and fungus is like literally everywhere. Like it's one of those things that's like in every ecosystem and in way more abundance than most people realize. There's almost, because it's a -- it's a decomposer, almost anything where there are other living things, there are funguses part of the decomposition cycle.

So, it's an interesting and robust group of not really plants exactly, because they're not photosynthetic. They're fungus. They're their own thing. But yeah, that one is an interesting one.

And on the dog space, what I would note, one of the big things there is like they actually showed, as we were watching the presentation, that the table of like number of household with pets has been going up, and the number of households with children has been going down.

2.4

MR. MARTIN: Children is going down, yeah.

MR. PAISON: And like a year or two ago, it crossed, and now there are more households with pets than there are households with children. So, it's really kind of a -- and that trend doesn't look like it's, like it's not flattening out. It's pretty much doing this (indicating). Like it's going to continue for a while.

We actually have a project come in next month for a dog bar, like a bar that has a dog park kind of built into it. There's one in Detroit. It's called Barkside, if you want to look it up on online. But they want to open one in Southfield. So, that should be interesting.

MR. MARTIN: Yeah.

MR. PAISON: It's a special land use because it's a bar, but it's basically a bar with like a dog park attached to it. It's an interesting concept. I think it might be pretty popular. Apparently, they do

really well in Detroit.

2.4

And then, the last item is that, I mean pretty obvious, balancing green energy demand with indigenous rights. You hear it with the pipeline stuff, also green energy. A lot of the green energy systems in our electronics require mining rare earth elements. And that kind of mining is kind of destructive, and the demand has gone up. They're slating the demand is going to go up at least 30 percent in the next decade for those rare earth elements, and that's after going up 10 percent just in the last 10 years.

So, it's pretty big, like they're looking for all the rare earth elements that go into our modern electronics. And additionally, I heard mentioned like the coming back of nuclear power is putting another demand on uranium, which I hadn't really thought about. And even copper is another one that they're looking for sources on that again, because there's so much usage of it.

So, I think we're looking at like between the inputs into green energy, like solar panels and whatnot, and then also, you know, the -- just the way you move that energy around across the native lands is, you know, one of those issues that perennially

```
1
     comes up. So, it's likely to be more of a flash point
 2
    going forward.
               We are looking today for a resolution
 3
     approving the report, and then referring it to the
 4
    City Council if it's acceptable in the form that we've
    presented it. And then, we can -- I can take it and
    present it to council on the 10th. In their -- in
     their case, it's mostly a receive and file, because
 8
 9
     this is your report. So, you actually don't make a
10
     recommendation on adoption, you act on it. It's the
11
    Planning Commission's report that then you refer to
12
     council.
13
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: All right, thank you. Any
14
     further discussion on the report? Commissioner
15
    Martin?
16
               MR. MARTIN:
                            I like the report. I think
17
     it's very good. The full presentation, the way that
18
     it was set out in the thing was very important, and I
19
     thought it was very good.
20
               I have questions, but they're not related to
21
     the report. There's information in the report that --
22
               MR. PAISON: Raised questions?
23
               MR. MARTIN: Yeah, they raised questions,
2.4
    but.
25
               MR. PAISON: Maybe you'll -- hmm.
```

```
1
              MR. MARTIN: But I think the report is good.
 2
              MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah.
               MR. MARTIN: I think it's -- I think it's
 3
 4
    worthy and very good, and I think it should be sent on
 5
     to the City Council.
               MS. BERNOUDY: Absolutely.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Commissioner Willis?
              V. CHAIR WILLIS: Following Commissioner
 8
 9
    Martin's statement, I agree wholeheartedly. And
10
    again, kudos on the report.
11
              MS. BERNOUDY: Absolutely.
12
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Commissioner Gruber?
13
              MS. GRUBER: Ditto.
14
              MR. PATSON: Thanks.
15
              CHAIR GRIFFIS: Commissioner Bernoudy?
16
              MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah, excellent report, thank
17
    you.
18
              MR. PAISON: Thanks.
19
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah, very good job. That's
20
    good, I guess, the projects keep getting bigger and
21
    bigger over the years. There's actually things that
22
    are done so you can -- you got momentum now of art and
23
    trails. And yeah, it's kind of hard to convince
2.4
     somebody to put out public art or pay for a trail, I
25
    know, 13, 14 years ago, but now it's a pretty robust
```

```
1
     system that's --
 2
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, we don't even get much
 3
     pushback on the art requirement anymore.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS:
 4
                               No?
               MR. PAISON: Like they -- because they can
     see it.
               MR. MARTIN:
                           Yes.
 8
               MR. PAISON:
                            They can see it's a thing.
 9
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: It's everywhere.
10
               MR. PAISON: They're not the first person,
11
     you know. It's interesting, because either they're
12
     like, oh yeah, we'd like to do that, that would make
13
     our place really stand out, or they're like, no, I get
14
     it. Yeah, we'll contribute to the art fund. We don't
15
     really want to do it on our site, but we're fine with
16
     throwing our art fund money in.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay.
17
18
               MR. PAISON: So, one way or the other, art
19
     gets put in.
20
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah, that's great. Nice
21
     job. Commissioner Martin?
22
               MR. MARTIN: I do have one -- one other
23
     thought. I don't remember the development costs for
2.4
     the Northland project.
25
               MR. PAISON: Oh, yeah.
```

1 MR. MARTIN: But I think that is something we should always be able to report, what our major 2 goals are, the development costs for Northland. I 3 know we've come up and we've had, you know, with 4 5 Middlepointe, we come up with large -- larger project 6 development costs. MR. PAISON: Yeah. MR. MARTIN: But I think we need to hang 8 9 that star rock there with Northland each and every 10 year. 11 MR. PAISON: Yeah, we've got a meeting on that this Friday. I could -- I could ask and see if 12 13 anybody's got updated numbers. Because if I look back 14 at the original application from the first ODD, it's 15 going to be dated. 16 MR. MARTIN: Yeah. 17 MR. PAISON: I mean, I can reference that. 18 But I, you know, I talk to the developer somewhat 19 regularly, dealing with his stuff. So, if he's got an updated, you know, number that he's telling funders or 20 21 loan people or whatever he's using in his pro formas, 22 maybe I can get kind of an updated scope and scale. 23 Because obviously, the project's been going long -- on 2.4 long enough that inflation has obviously affected the

25

number.

1 MR. MARTIN: Yeah, just -- just my thought --MR. PAISON: Yeah. 2 MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah. 3 MR. MARTIN: -- is, you know, that's such a 4 5 big number that you have there to show, you know, 6 we're still working to get there again. MR. PAISON: Oh, yeah. MR. MARTIN: Yeah, that's all. But I think 8 9 it's an excellent report. 10 MR. PAISON: To that point, I was talking to 11 the DDA director today, and the DDA now is actually 12 like collecting tax capture again because the Costco 13 went in. Like they finally, they were underwater for 14 a while. 15 MR. MARTIN: Yeah. 16 MR. PAISON: But now there's enough taxable value from the original base when they established the 17 18 DDA, that they're actually collecting an increment now 19 because of Costco. It's not a ton of money, but like 20 it's finally they're in positive territory. 21 MR. MARTIN: Yeah. 22 MR. PAISON: And as those two buildings on 23 Greenfield come online and get occupied, that'll bring 2.4 them further, and then they'll be able to do more. 25 mean, they're working on a strategic plan, the DDA

right now, to try to update that. Because the last
one they did was like, it was the 2013 to 2015, I
think, or 2018. So, it hasn't been updated in a
little while. So, they're updating that and looking
at, you know, what they can do short term with the
resources they have, but longer term as more resources
become available.

So, that is -- that's good initiative on their part, because there's some areas, like along Eight Mile, that are part of the DDA, like between Southfield and Northwestern, that stretch of kind of little office buildings along Eight Mile is part of the DDA, but it's really not doing anything.

MR. MARTIN: Yeah.

2.4

MR. PAISON: And there's some discussion about what we can do to maybe jump start that area a little bit. So, yeah, no, I think you're right. There's a lot of, there's progress there. Like the fact that the DDA went cash positive this year, to me, that's a huge -- like that's a -- that's a tipping point. So, and I think the Costco is adding momentum in that area. So, yeah, no, absolutely. I'll see what I can dig up on the Northland stuff.

CHAIR GRIFFIS: If there's no further discussion, we're looking for a motion to adopt and

```
refer the annual report to the City Council.
 1
               MS. BERNOUDY: I'd like to make that motion.
 2
 3
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: All right.
               MR. MARTIN: Second.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Favorable motion by
 6
    Commissioner Bernoudy, second by Commissioner Martin.
    All in favor?
 8
               FULL COMMISSION:
                                 Aye.
 9
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: All right. That proceeds on
10
    to City Council.
11
               Meeting minutes from December 4th and
12
    December 18th.
13
               MR. MARTIN: Motion to approve the -- I just
14
     lost the pages -- the minutes for -- the study meeting
15
    minutes for December 4 and regular meeting minutes for
    December 8 -- 18th.
16
              V. CHAIR WILLIS: Support.
17
18
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: All right. I've got a
19
     favorable motion on the minutes by Commissioner
20
    Martin, supported by Commissioner Willis. All in
21
     favor?
22
               FULL COMMISSION: Aye.
23
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: All right. Minutes proceed.
2.4
              Now, we have the public comment portion of
25
     the meeting. Any members of the public who wish to
```

speak, please come forward, state your name and address for the record. You'll have three minutes to speak.

2.4

MR. MCWILLIAMS: Name is Garland McWilliams.

I live on Pennsylvania Street off of Greenfield.

And my concern was, and you kind of brushed on it a little bit. In fact, I have two things I want to bring up. The Northland project, you know, I drive by there, you know, quite often and everything, and it's been going on for a few years now. And I was wondering, when is that going to be completed, you know? I mean, it's been a long time, you know.

I don't have any other places to shop anymore. I got to go to another city to shop and everything. And when Northland was there, I really appreciated, you know, the convenience of going to Northland. And I see a lot of other projects are going on in the city, and that's commendable. But I'd just like to know when is going to get done.

Next thing I want to talk about, bring up, is the theaters on 12 Mile and Telegraph there.

What's going to become of those? They've been vacant for a number of years now. And I, you know, I haven't heard anything about it. I used to go to the theater, I had some place to go to, you know. And now there's,

```
1
     I got to go to some other city to see a movie.
 2
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Absolutely.
               MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah.
 3
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I think we do have some info
 4
              Any other questions while you're here?
     we'll let the Planning Department give you some better
     dates than I can remember.
               MR. PAISON: Yeah.
 8
 9
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I know Northland is a big
10
     one.
11
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, I mean that technically,
     for full build out for all the phases of Northland,
12
13
     you could be talking another decade or two.
14
     buildings on Greenfield that are under construction
15
     are supposed to be completed and beginning occupancy
16
     this year. So, those two will be done. And then,
17
     they're supposed to commence construction on the next
18
     two buildings along Greenfield also this year.
19
               So, ideally, the four buildings along
     Greenfield, which will have commercial on the ground
20
21
     floor and then apartments above, within the next few
22
     years, those will be complete and occupied.
23
     Hopefully, the first two buildings will be complete
2.4
     occupied by the end of this year, and the second two
25
     buildings by the end of next year.
```

And then, there's like the big center building where the mall used to be, that would be -- that's another phase. It's a multi-phase project. So, that one, and there's buildings that go behind the Greenfield buildings as well. My understanding is, just because of the scale of the project being built by in phases, I would be surprised if, as a whole, completely done, developer is out of there in less than 10 years, just because it is such a huge project.

2.4

And you gotta remember, like that project kicked off, and then the pandemic happened, and then the supply chain problems happened. And it kind of derailed the thing for a couple of years. So, it's moving along. We're going to have those first couple of buildings open and occupied this year, if all continues to go.

But it's -- and the Costco, the thing about Costco is like Costco came in with their own general contractor and built that Costco. The developer sold them that piece, and they built, the Costco guys built that themselves. So, that was a completely different entity that built that.

And Costco is like a global corporation.

They've got fast-track contractors who literally just work for them, that they go -- like the general

```
1
     contractor who ran Costco, he travels from place to
     place building Costcos. He just goes, like wherever
 2
     Costco sends him, he goes and builds a Costco.
 3
 4
     all he does. He just builds Costcos.
               So, that's kind of what Costco can do.
     you're a Costco, you can do that. You're like one of
 6
     the biggest corporations doing retail. But yeah,
     that's probably that -- it's a huge project, so it's
 8
 9
     going to take a few years to get built out.
10
               The theaters, the one on 12 Mile, that's the
11
     one that was bought by, I think, the Triumph Church.
12
               (Crosstalk.)
13
               MS. GRUBER:
                            The AMC, yeah.
14
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                              The church.
15
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, and that one, for a
16
     while, they were trying to stick tenants in there, but
17
     without doing any of the stuff to fix the building.
18
     Now, it sounds like they might be trying to sell it.
19
     If they sell it, then maybe somebody would do
20
     something with it. But the church was just going to
21
     make it into a church, but like they haven't done it.
22
     They bought it and just sat on it. They haven't done
23
     anything with it.
2.4
               MR. MCWILLIAMS:
                                They did buy it?
25
               MR. PAISON:
                            They did buy it. Oh yeah, they
```

bought it a few years back. That's why it's been

sitting vacant like that is because it was not on the

market anymore. The church bought it, and then they

just sat on it. And I don't know what their thinking

is, if they were storing, you know, if they were

parking money there, if they thought they were going

to do another church there.

I don't, you know, I don't -- I don't really know. I don't know what their thoughts were. They don't -- they don't tell us what their business plan is. They just go buy property, then we find out when they file their property transfer affidavit that they bought it. So, and we can't stop them from buying it. That's a free trade between two private entities.

MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah.

2.4

MR. PAISON: If Star -- if AMC was willing to sell it to them, and they were willing to pay the price, and they're not -- we can't force them to occupy it. We can't force them to sell it. We can only force them to do property maintenance. That's all we can force them to do.

So, yes, would like to see another theater or a redevelopment. Like, if it's not going to be a theater, knock it down. That's a lot of land, like valuable land, in a really high visibility location,

1 that could be something. 2 MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah. MR. PAISON: So, we're -- we have encouraged 3 all the parties involved, like yes, we'd support a 4 theater. We'd also support redevelopment of the property to something new for Southfield; retail, maybe a theater and retail, you know, maybe it could be a Dave and Busters. I don't know, something, build 8 9 something there. But once again, we don't control the 10 property, so there's only so much we can do with that, 11 other than make them do property maintenance. 12 code enforcement. 13 MR. MCWILLIAMS: Is -- and there's no kind 14 of pressure we can put on people to fix it? 15 MR. PAISON: Well, because they're not 16 occupying it as a church, we have not given them 17 tax-exempt status, so they've had to pay taxes on the 18 property. So that -- and we, when we do go out there, 19 code enforcement goes out there and makes them clean 20 the landscaping, remove the litter, you know, remove 21 dumping on the property; that's all legally we can do 22 is make them do property maintenance and pay their 23 taxes. 2.4 MR. MCWILLIAMS: And with Northland, it's 25 going to be something like 10 years?

1 MR. PAISON: Yeah, Northland is huge. 2 There's like 300 acres there. MR. MCWILLIAMS: I know, but didn't they --3 4 didn't they realize that when they started? MR. PAISON: Yeah, no, it was always a multi-phase plan that could take up to two decades. It was always a multi-phase plan that could take up to 20 years. The market can't actually absorb that much 8 9 stuff that fast. You have to bring it on at a pace. 10 If you try to dump 3000 apartments in the market at 11 once, it can't absorb it. But if you had a few 12 hundred apartments every year, the market can absorb 13 it. 14 Like nobody will finance you to do all that 15 at once, because the market can't absorb it. There's 16 no way your pro formas work. The banks won't finance 17 it that way. They'll finance it in phases, but 18 there's no way they'd finance the whole thing over 20 19 years. So, yeah, it had to -- it always had to be 20 built in phases, a building at a time. 21 MR. MCWILLIAMS: So, I'm going on 80 years 22 old, and so by the time I'm going on 90? 23 MR. PAISON: Yeah. Unfortunately, regional 2.4 malls are dying. Where I live in Dearborn, Fairlane 25 Mall is dying. The Hyatt Regency there is already

```
1
     vacant.
 2
               MS. GRUBER: In the (INAUDIBLE) that one?
 3
               (Crosstalk.)
 4
               MR. MARTIN: You have to look at places like
 5
     Pontiac, that's been vacant for 10 years.
 6
               MR. MCWILLIAMS:
                                Yeah.
               MR. MARTIN: And there's nothing there.
               MR. PAISON: And the studies that I've seen
 8
     actually indicate we're --
 9
10
               MR. MARTIN: Hall Road is going, you know,
11
     they're getting rid of the mall there.
12
               MR. PAISON:
                            Yeah.
13
               MR. MARTIN: They're getting rid of large
     malls all over.
14
15
               MR. PAISON:
                           Yeah.
16
               MR. MARTIN: And everybody's trying to
17
     redevelopment. The day of the large market stores is
            They're all going to online and all that.
18
     dying.
19
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah, yeah, for sure.
20
               MS. GRUBER: All over the country, not just
21
    here.
22
               MR. PAISON: Oh no, it's everywhere.
23
               MS. GRUBER:
                           Yeah.
2.4
               MR. PAISON: We're over-retailed in America.
25
     Like if you look at Europe, we have per person four
```

times the retail square footage that Europe has. So, we have way more retail space than we actually can support in the long run. We overbuilt, and this adjustment, combined with online shopping, is just —this is the adjustment that's happening.

2.4

You see it in this, you see it in office space, too. Office space is now like, even though people are -- they have returned to work now, they're not returned to work all the time. It's flex now. So like, even then, they don't need quite as much square footage as they used to.

So, you know, we've got a lot of adjustment to do. And it's not just us. It's happening all over the country. It's the same thing with a lot of older churches now that are looking for new purposes, because a lot of older parishes or older congregations have shrunk to the point where they can't support the building. And in my career, I've been at this over 20 years, I probably dealt with a church conversion or a church going vacant every year of my career, because just the declining numbers of people who are active parishioners of that church.

Now, some places, some groups, some of the bigger congregations have active like committees and plans to consolidate and repurpose properties. Other

```
ones, it just kind of happens in a disorganized way.
 1
     So, unfortunately, yeah, it's just like you're seeing
 2
     it -- you're seeing it with the banks. You end up
 3
 4
    with a lot of vacant banks because banks are going
    more online.
 5
               You're seeing it with the Rite Aids and
    CVS's and Walgreens. They're contracting because they
    overbuilt. They built way too many of them.
 8
    yeah, it's just a -- I think we're gonna see it with
 9
10
     the dollar stores. I think the dollar stores are over
11
    building, and I think they're gonna -- we're already
12
     seeing mergers in that industry, and we're gonna see
13
    retraction eventually.
14
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Well, you know, what
15
    bothered me was it was -- it was such a rush to tear
16
     it down. They had all these plans and everything.
17
    And I said, oh boy, that's --
18
               MR. PAISON: Yeah.
19
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: That's going to be a nice
20
    deal, you know? And they tore everything down, and it
21
     just sat there.
22
               MR. PAISON: Yeah. Some of that is --
23
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: The apartments are there,
2.4
    you know.
25
               MR. PAISON:
                            Yeah.
```

MR. MCWILLIAMS: That's fine and everything.

But, you know, it was such a rush to tear everything

down, but nothing's been done with it.

2.4

MR. PAISON: Well, it would have just sat and rotted because we didn't have anybody to occupy it. So, and also tearing it down -- okay. This is a little arcane economic development stuff. One of the ways you fund these projects is through a Brownfield plan. The way you get a Brownfield plan is tax capture on the improvements on the property with the new development. The way you get the most tax capture is if you actually start with the lowest assessed value at the beginning, which is usually like when the building is completely empty or been demolished, because you're just at land value then.

So, the project in the long run, there was no way we were going to reuse the stuff that was torn down. It was in too bad a shape, and there was no way to repurpose it. It had to come down. So, that was just to make room for the development to occur. But, you know, yes, we could have still left buildings there, but they would have just been derelict buildings with roofs that were leaking and full of water and rodents. It wouldn't have done the community good to keep those buildings, so.

```
1
              MR. MCWILLIAMS: Well, that answers my
 2
    question.
 3
               MR. MARTIN:
                            To answer your question.
 4
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: I've got one more thing
 5
     that's always been my pet peeve.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS:
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: I know my three minutes are
 8
    up.
 9
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Not a long line behind you.
10
               (Inaudible crosstalk.)
11
               MS. GRUBER: It's okay.
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Not a big crowd here.
12
13
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I'll give you three minutes
14
     starting now.
15
               (Inaudible crosstalk.)
16
               MS. GRUBER: Undivided attention.
17
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Three minutes starting now.
18
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: You know, I've been to the
19
    City Council meetings and everything, and I always
20
    brought up the issue of the condition of some of our
21
    roads. And, you know, I live a block off of Mount
22
    Vernon, and it's in pretty bad shape. And I
23
    understand the west side of Southfield is going to be
2.4
     -- have some work done on it next year. Mount Vernon
25
    and Lincoln, Lincoln is in horrible shape, you know.
```

And you know everything, every time I ask about it, they said, we don't have any money. They can't get any money, you know. It just seems like there is no will. When there's a will, you can find some money from some place, you know. But you know, I just wanted to bring that up.

And, you know, I talked to my neighbors, and they say they call, and they say, well, we seem to be getting the run around and everything, and you know.

And I know not enough transparency with the, you know — look at this. There's nobody else here and everything. You know, I try to be involved with, you know, my neighborhood and city affairs as much as I can and everything.

MR. PAISON: Yeah.

2.4

MR. MCWILLIAMS: And, you know, I retired.

I retired from Ford engineering. And you know, I like to -- I moved to Southfield. I've been here for 25, 26, 27 years now. And neighbors change and everything, and for the most part, for the better. I got a few things that I complained to a couple of neighbors in a nice way and everything, and they cooperate and everything.

But I just want to see the Southfield that I moved into to progress comfortably, and I need some

```
1
    place to shop, okay? And you know, that's about all I
 2
          That's all I got.
    got.
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: If I could respond, I need
 3
 4
     to say, I appreciate you. I appreciate your
     questions. We have had exactly the same conversations
     over the last couple of months. Northland, we've
     talked about the number of times it's been before us;
    and we even compared the church at 12 Mile and
 8
    Northwestern to the churches at Southfield -- I'm
 9
10
     sorry it's Woodward and Seven Mile, because both of
11
     them have been long-term projects.
12
               We shared the frustration. I think we're
13
    here because we want to make sure we can push those
14
     same things.
15
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                              Yeah.
16
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: So, I'm glad to hear from
17
    you, because it just kind of reinforces our commitment
18
     to the same thing. So, thank you.
19
               MS. GRUBER: Thank you for coming.
20
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Thank you.
21
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Well, thanks for listening.
22
               MS. GRUBER: Anytime.
23
               MR. PAISON: And another thing I would add,
2.4
     in terms when it comes to roads, what we're going to
```

be addressing next month at the meeting in the middle

25

of the month, is the capital improvement program,
where we talk about capital projects, which include
infrastructure like roads. And then, that feeds right
into the budget.

2.4

And that's really where the rubber hits the road. If it's not in the Capital Improvement Plan, then it can't go in the budget. And then, you know, that's kind of how that money stacks through.

And one of the things people don't seem to understand like about, by law, local governments have to have balanced books. Every year, you've got to balance your books. You cannot spend more money than you have, and you have to send reports to prove you have balanced books to the state, or you end up in receivership like Flint and Detroit did.

So, it's -- it is tough. There is a limited pool of money. Michigan's finance system for local government is kind of broken. There's some good articles out there about what the problem is, between the Headlee Amendment and Proposal A that were passed back in the 90s under Engler, it broke how local government was financed. And all local governments have kind of been in trouble since then.

And then, when all the property values dropped during the Great Recession, because Proposal A

1 and Headlee Amendment assumed the property values would never drop, that they would always go up. And 2 then, when they dropped 40 percent, that put everybody 3 -- I think right now, the Finance Department and city administrator estimate that like we will catch up to where we were at the beginning of the Great Recession in terms of revenues in perhaps another 20 years. That's how much revenue went down. And we're capped, 8 9 our revenue increase is capped at, I think it's 10 5 percent or the rate of inflation, whichever is That is the maximum amount our taxable value 11 lower. 12 can go up. 13 So, with those caps in place, when you drop 14 40 percent, to recover at say 3 percent a year, it 15 takes a long time to actually get back up to that 16 So, unfortunately, like we took a huge hit. 17 All local government did, not just here. All local government took this huge hit in their tax base and 18 19 their revenues in the Great Recession when it 20 happened, and none of them have recovered completely 21 yet. 22 So it's, unfortunately, it's a bad -- we're 23 kind of stuck in like a bad system of -- and like

things -- actually, local government finance worked

kind of okay before Prop A and the Headlee Amendment

2.4

25

1 were passed, like they actually functioned. Because local -- local voters could vote to tax themselves. 2 Like if we want more stuff and we want better roads, 3 we, as all local voters, we could say, you know what, we're willing to pay another mill if you fix these roads. We can't do that anymore. Like we're capped. We cannot do that locally. There's limits now on 8 that. 9 So, yeah, unfortunately, that's -- the state 10 of Michigan kind of took a lot of the, I would say the 11 rights of the local people to decide how much taxes 12 they wanted to pay and for what, they took that out of 13 your hands and out of the hands of your local 14 government at the Lansing level. So, it's one of 15 those things, if it doesn't change, it's going to lead 16 to a lot more of this kind of deferred infrastructure 17 work, because it's happening everywhere. 18 It's, like I said, I've worked in other 19 communities, and it's -- it's not just here, it's 20 everywhere. All of the local governments in Michigan 21 are fighting the same problem. And the state 22 legislature is basically just kind of, they don't want 23 to address it. They're just, it doesn't matter,

Republican, Democrat. I've seen it go back and forth,

and it gets raised every legislative session, and they

2.4

25

```
never address it.
 1
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah. Well, you know, I am
 2
    glad to see, you know, Pierce was one of my -- one of
 3
     the streets I brought up, and they did black top
 4
    Pierce between Mount Vernon and 10 Mile. So, that was
     a great help, because it was --
               MR. PAISON: That one was really bad.
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: It was -- it was bad.
 8
 9
               MR. PAISON: The potholes in the street were
10
     insane.
11
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah, yeah.
12
               MR. PAISON: They'd eat your car. It was
13
    bad.
14
              MR. MCWILLIAMS:
                                So.
15
               MR. PAISON: It was bad.
16
               MR. MARTIN: There's plans to do Mount
    Vernon this summer.
17
18
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: What's that?
19
               MR. MARTIN: Mount Vernon, between
20
     Southfield and the expressway, they're working on
21
     redoing that, resurfacing that.
22
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah, yeah. That's what I
23
    understand, yeah.
2.4
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, that section, yeah.
25
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah, so.
```

MR. PAISON: Yeah, it's -- it's tough. It's 1 tough. Like I said, to really understand the details 2 of it, the capital improvement program and the budget, 3 are really where the like the details, where the rubber hits -- literally, the rubber hits the road on that stuff. And I can tell you, our city engineer goes after all the grant money she can get for roads. But it's -- there's different categories of roads, and 8 9 certain types of roads qualify for some grants; they 10 don't apply for other grants. 11 So, it's -- it's unfortunately, and there's 12 a lot of competition. Every local government is after 13 this money, and there's just never enough of it to go 14 around for the amount of roads we have. We have a lot 15 of roads. We've got two hundred and something miles 16 of roads in Southfield. So, yeah, it's -- it's 17 challenging. You're correct, like it -- it's very 18 challenging, absolutely. 19 But I would say, if you get a little deeper 20 in like the -- if you wanted to come to the capital 21 improvement -- the meeting that's on the 13th? 22 MR. BOLLIN: I think so, yeah. 23 MR. PAISON: In my notes right here, yeah, 24 February 12, sorry, 3 p.m. That meeting is where all 25 the departments actually present to this body their

```
capital plan and what they intend to do and why they
 1
     chose the projects they chose.
 2
               So, that one's like where they really get
 3
     into the detail, every single department comes and
 4
    presents at that meeting who has capital projects.
     You learn a ton from that capital improvement program.
     I learn a ton from that, because I don't even know
     what all the departments are up to until they present
 8
 9
     for capital improvements. Then I'm like, oh,
10
     libraries is there, engine -- public works is there,
11
     planning is there. All the departments are there.
12
                            All the departments.
               MR. MARTIN:
13
               MR. PAISON:
                            IT.
14
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Police, fire.
15
               MS. BERNOUDY: Fire department, police.
16
               MR. PAISON: Fire department, police
17
     department, they're all there with their capital
18
    projects.
19
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                              Yeah.
20
               MR. PAISON: So, you learn a lot about like,
     not only what they're doing, going to do next year,
21
22
     but it's the capital improvement plan is current year
23
    plus five. So, you learn what they're planning to do
2.4
     the next five years.
25
               MS. GRUBER: And you might even see on there
```

```
1
     when they plan to do your street, because they -- I
 2
     remember that. They laid it out.
 3
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, they have -- yep.
 4
               MS. GRUBER: For five years.
               MR. PAISON: Now, they do get updated.
 6
     update it every year. But like having that forward
     look, where you see like, oh okay, they're doing this
     one and they're doing this one and they're doing this
 8
 9
           It gives you that sense of like what the actual
10
    plan is for the next five years.
11
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Well they, you know, they
12
     did some work on my -- on my block. It was, I brought
13
     it to the attention of some of the areas of, I mean,
14
     they were -- you'd have to drive around --
15
               MR. PAISON:
                            Yeah.
16
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: -- certain little spots.
     And they did about one, two, three -- about three,
17
18
     three or four areas on my block that were really,
19
     really bad. And that was -- that was very
20
     appreciated.
21
               MR. PAISON:
                            Yeah.
22
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: In fact, one of my
23
     neighbors said, you know, wanted to give me a hug and
2.4
     give me -- gave me a gift card, you know, so.
25
                            That was nice.
               MS. GRUBER:
```

```
1
              MR. MCWILLIAMS: I -- but you don't have to
 2
    do that.
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah, that was nice.
 3
 4
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: But you know, I -- you
 5
    know, people get tired of seeing nothing, you know.
 6
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, yep.
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: And they get, you know,
     there's a lot of my -- a lot of my neighbors are
 8
    retirees like myself, and --
 9
10
               MR. PAISON: Yeah.
11
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: And they don't have the
12
     energy to come to the meetings and everything. And
13
     they say, well, whatever. They just going to do what
14
     they want to do. And that gives a bad -- a bad name
15
     for the councils and, you know, city government.
16
               MR. PATSON:
                           Yeah.
17
               MR. MARTIN: But the one thing people don't
18
    understand is that, yes, city government, but
19
    businesses do the building, the development, the
20
    buying. We can't force them to do that. We can only
21
     try and work with what they plan to do.
22
               MR. MCWILLIAMS:
                                Yeah.
23
               MR. MARTIN: And the money that they have,
2.4
    because we don't have it. We don't control them.
25
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Gotcha.
```

```
MR. MARTIN: But it's, you know, hey, it's a
 1
 2
     dog eat dog world, and we're always on the bitten end.
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yeah, I understand, yeah.
 3
 4
     Well, hopefully things get a lot better. And you
     know, like I say, I try to come to as many meetings as
     I can, just to see what's going on.
               MR. PAISON:
                            Sure.
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: You know, I'm not a chronic
 8
 9
     complaint -- some people just are chronic complainers,
10
     you know. But you know, I'll --
               MS. BERNOUDY: Well, it's important to show
11
12
     up.
13
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: I gotta -- I gotta know
14
     what's going on.
15
               MR. PAISON:
                            Yeah.
16
               MR. MCWILLIAMS:
                                Yeah.
17
               MR. PAISON:
                            That capital improvement plan
18
     meeting on the 12th, then, there's just a lot of
19
     really good lot of really good information that's
20
    presented at that.
21
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Okay. I'll try to make
22
     that.
23
               MR. PAISON: If you can make it to that one,
24
    you'll learn a ton about what they're planning next
25
    year and for the next five years.
```

```
V. CHAIR WILLIS: This is way off the
 1
 2
     conversation we're having now, but they're always
    opening some boards and commissions. They're always
 3
 4
     looking for people who want to be involved in things
 5
     that goes on with the city. So, it's an application
    process. It's simple to do, and you could join a
    board. This -- all of us are --
               MS. BERNOUDY: Right, volunteers.
 8
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Yeah, we're all kind of
 9
10
    volunteers.
11
               MS. BERNOUDY: Right.
12
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: And so, they have it for
13
    virtually every area. So, it would be a thing to
     consider.
14
15
               MR. PAISON: Yeah.
16
               MS. BERNOUDY: Right. Don't give up.
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: I got a little age on my
17
18
     side.
19
               (Inaudible crosstalk.)
20
              V. CHAIR WILLIS: We can all raise our
21
    hands.
22
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: I got a plate that's
23
    running over.
2.4
               MR. MARTIN: Yeah.
25
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah.
```

```
1
              MR. MCWILLIAMS: I need a couple of clones
 2
     for myself and everything.
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: I got you.
 3
 4
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: But I try to do the best I
 5
     can.
 6
               MR. PAISON: Yep.
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: But thanks for the
     information.
 8
 9
               MS. BERNOUDY: We appreciate your comments.
10
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: I appreciate all of you.
11
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Yeah, thank you.
12
               CHAIR GRIFFIS:
                               Thank you.
13
               MS. GRUBER: Take care and be well.
14
               MR. MCWILLIAMS: Okay. You, too.
15
               MS. GRUBER: Try to go to that meeting.
16
              MR. MCWILLIAMS:
                                Okay.
               MR. PAISON: That one's pretty good.
17
18
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I'll close the public
19
    hearing, but we never have any public comments, so
20
     sometimes we can run over, but we rarely.
21
               MS. GRUBER: Council update.
22
               MS. BERNOUDY: Council update.
23
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: Council items update.
2.4
               MR. PAISON: Yeah. So, the oil change place
25
    on Southfield, between Stratford and Silver Maple,
```

```
1
    went to council for its rezoning and special land use,
    and the rezoning did not pass. Mr. Crews was missing,
 2
     and they deadlocked three-three. So, it just -- it
 3
     failed to pass because they didn't have a majority
    vote for the rezoning.
               Some of the neighbors finally came out
     against, like two households came out against the oil
     change place.
 8
 9
               MS. BERNOUDY: No, wow.
10
               MR. PAISON: And then, a couple of the other
11
    people who are always at the City Council meeting also
     spoke against it. And for some reason, it broke
12
13
     three-three on that, so.
14
               MS. BERNOUDY: You said the council meeting?
15
               MS. GRUBER: Yeah.
16
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, I don't -- that one,
17
    we're looking at like, first off, since they actually
    didn't pass a resolution for or against, they just
18
    deadlocked three-three.
19
20
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: Okay.
               MR. PAISON: Technically, the issue is not
21
22
     final, like --
23
               MS. GRUBER: Right.
2.4
               MR. PAISON: So it doesn't preclude them
25
     from bringing it back. But we don't know --
```

```
Councilman Crews is not well. I do not know when he
 1
     will be at a council meeting. He's been having some
 2
     health issues.
 3
 4
               MS. BERNOUDY: You know they (INAUDIBLE) --
 5
               (Crosstalk.)
               MR. MARTIN:
                           Yeah.
               MR. PAISON: I don't know the details, but
     he's --
 8
 9
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: It's been true for years.
10
               MR. MARTIN:
                            Yeah.
11
               MR. PAISON: I don't know when he's going to
12
     be there or not there, so you really can't count on
13
           I, you know, got a little bit of feedback for
14
     some of the council members, kind of off the record as
15
     to what -- what they were concerned about. So, with
16
     some changes -- the other thing is, we actually -- I
17
     suggested, well -- and then, the city attorney is
18
     reviewing this, to whether or not they can bring it
19
     back as is, or they have to bring back sort of a
20
     different proposal.
21
               My thought is they could actually come back
22
     and propose like a conditional rezoning in the B-3,
23
     exclude some uses, maybe tweak the site plan a little
2.4
     bit, and then take another crack at it. I did tell
25
     the owner, I said you could probably do that, and it
```

```
1
    would be legal, because you're not asking for the same
 2
     exact same thing.
 3
               But that doesn't guarantee you're going to
    get another vote. Like, I mean, you'll get a vote,
 4
    but it doesn't matter -- it doesn't quarantee you're
     going to get a majority. You might have the same
    result.
               MS. BERNOUDY: Oh, yeah.
 8
 9
               MR. PAISON: I can't quarantee how council
10
     is going to vote.
11
               MS. BERNOUDY: (INAUDIBLE.)
12
               MR. PAISON: I always tell people, council
    doesn't work for me, I work for them.
13
14
               MR. PAISON: So, it's -- it's tough.
15
               (Inaudible background conversation.)
16
               MR. PAISON: Because, you know, he did like
17
     everything we asked him to do on those plans, pretty
18
    much.
19
              V. CHAIR WILLIS: Yeah, ideal location.
20
               (Inaudible background conversation.)
21
               MR. PAISON: And he went through, he came to
22
     council kind of like before he bought. Then, he
23
    acquired the property, then he went through the
2.4
    process.
               I'm like --
25
               MS. GRUBER: I don't know.
```

```
1
              MS. BERNOUDY: How does that work, when it's
 2
     tied like that?
               MR. PAISON: Well, a rezoning, to change the
 3
 4
     zoning, you have to have a majority vote. So, with
     six people there, you needed at least four.
 6
               MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah, it needs to be
     (INAUDIBLE).
               (Crosstalk.)
 8
 9
               MR. PAISON: Because three-three like just
10
     leaves it as is. The resolution to approve the
11
    rezoning failed for lack of a majority, just like
12
    when --
               MR. MARTIN: Just before this.
13
14
               MR. PAISON: -- you guys voted on Eagle,
15
     same thing. You guys locked three-three.
16
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                             Right.
17
               MR. PAISON: And no -- nothing passed.
18
     in your case, you decided to postpone it for them to
19
    address the concerns that were stated, and maybe to
20
    have a seventh member present when you voted. Council
21
     just kind of like --
22
               MS. BERNOUDY: They didn't want to
23
     (INAUDIBLE) --
2.4
               MR. PAISON: -- yeah, we don't have the
25
    votes, issue dies for lack of, you know, lack of --
```

1 MS. BERNOUDY: Oh, my gosh. MR. PAISON: -- lack of support, and they 2 moved on. 3 4 MS. GRUBER: That's too bad. MR. PAISON: Like I said, I -- like I said, the city attorney's reviewing, like there is some case law out there about like when a zoning issue is considered final and like -- because what they -- you 8 don't want to get into a double jeopardy thing where 9 10 you're going back and asking for the same thing three 11 times and hold three public hearings on the exact same 12 thing. Because that's not fair to like anyone involved in the process, including the neighbors. 13 14 Like, you know, they came, they placed their opinion, 15 the thing happened, and then you're going to come back 16 and do it again? 17 So, we have to be cognizant of the fact that 18 there is a degree of finality to those decisions, and 19 they have to change something to bring it back, or 20 it's because it was not a majority vote denial or 21 approval, that it's still an open issue; like they 22 never effectively acted on it. You know, they made a 23 motion in support, but there were not enough votes to 2.4 approve or deny. They deadlocked.

So, that one, we're still working out.

25

1 I'm working with Dawn to see if there is a -- but like
2 even if Dawn and I can figure out a legitimate way
3 that it can come back --

MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah.

2.4

MR. PAISON: -- that doesn't guarantee approval, that just guarantees them another round. So, I don't -- I don't know on that one. Even the mayor spoke up kind of in favor. He's like, that's been vacant for years. It was approved for an office building in 2002 and nothing got built.

MS. GRUBER: Yeah.

MR. PAISON: You know, there's a lot of underutilized and older buildings on that strip that really need to be repurposed. I'm personally of the opinion the OS district is too narrow of a district. We're never going to get reinvestment in those buildings and reuse, unless we allow more flexible use of those buildings. At least service uses in addition to the office uses, or maybe specialty retail could also go in those buildings. They're probably suitable for that, not assembly, they just don't have enough parking.

But I just think like if we took with the OS district and combined it with like the B-1 and the NS, the Neighborhood Service district, like that mix of

1 lower intensity commercial uses could work in any of those buildings. 2 So, you know, that's -- that's one of the 3 things that looking at it convinced me like, you know, the OS district in these small office building corridors isn't working for us. We're not getting the investment. The buildings are just sitting or they're going through the spirals. They're spiraling down. 8 9 Like we gotta -- what we need to do is have enough 10 flexibility that someone sees that they can make the 11 return on the building with tenants, and then they'll 12 come in and invest in the building. 13 (Inaudible background conversation.) 14 MR. PAISON: So, I really am of the opinion 15 that as part of that consolidating commercial 16 districts we were talking about, consolidating the OS 17 with the less intense commercial districts might be a good way to do that. But unfortunately for that guy, 18

showed up. These two neighbors showed up for the last meeting out of the four meetings that were held. So,

you know, like we had all those meetings, and they

22 | I don't --

19

23

2.4

25

MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah.

MR. PAISON: And some of the concerns they had about like air pollution were like, well, that's

```
1
     coming from the highways.
 2
               MS. GRUBER: Yeah.
               MR. PAISON: It's Southfield, Northwestern,
 3
     like --
 4
 5
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: If you live next to a
 6
     (INAUDIBLE) --
               MS. GRUBER: (INAUDIBLE.)
               MR. PAISON: That's where -- that's where
 8
     your air pollution is coming from.
 9
10
               MS. GRUBER:
                            Yeah.
11
               MR. PAISON: Not from the oil change place.
12
               MS. BERNOUDY: Right. It didn't come from
13
     there.
14
               MR. PAISON: And then, there was concern
15
     about the traffic, but we're like, it's a highway,
16
     seven lanes.
17
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: That argument, that's why
18
    people want to build on the main road, Telegraph or
     Southfield.
19
               MR. PAISON: Yeah. But also, the guy said,
20
21
     like on a busy day, on a busy day, they do 35 cars.
22
               MS. GRUBER: Cars, right.
23
               MR. PAISON: In a 10-hour, you know, eight-
2.4
     to 10-hour period.
25
               MS. GRUBER:
                            Yeah.
```

```
1
               MR. PAISON: That's, you know, two, three,
 2
     four cars an hour. That's not a substantial traffic
 3
     impact.
 4
               CHAIR GRIFFIS:
                               No.
               MR. PAISON: I'm like, they were wondering
     why we didn't make them do a traffic study. I'm like,
     if you're only generating four cars an hour, there's
     no justification for the cost of a traffic study.
 8
 9
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                              No.
10
               MR. PAISON: I can't -- I can't justify
11
     making a guy like this spend $10,000 on a traffic
     study, when he's got such a low-traffic generator.
12
13
     It's just one of those things that was a little
14
     strange.
15
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: That was the perfect design
16
     for that site.
17
               MR. PAISON: No, it fit nicely.
18
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: I don't know what else you
     could build there.
19
20
               MR. PAISON: We were getting the sidewalks,
21
     we were getting the green belts, we were getting the
22
     screening wall.
23
               MS. BERNOUDY:
                              Yeah.
2.4
               MR. PAISON: The building looked decent, you
25
     know.
```

1 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Yeah. MR. PAISON: It was a low -- relatively low 2 I don't think they quite appreciate 3 intensity use. 4 That's actually a relatively low intensity use. V. CHAIR WILLIS: Four cars an hour. 6 MR. PAISON: So, I'm still working on that. We'll see what happens with it. I don't think the issue is done done. I just don't know what the next 8 chapter is going to look like yet. I got to get --9 10 talk to the city attorney again about our options. 11 My own figure is the cleanest way to do it is just to have them come back with a conditional 12 13 rezoning, go through the process again with the 14 conditional rezoning, have them tweak the site plan a 15 little bit based on some of the comments I heard that 16 were more of the legitimate variety, and see if maybe 17 that's enough to flip one vote, and they would then 18 pass. 19 There's always the trick of the MR. MARTIN: 20 Planning Commissioner show up and speak, too. 21 MR. PAISON: Yeah, yeah. 22 MR. MARTIN: That always helps. 23 MR. PAISON: Yeah. I mean, even the mayor 2.4 chimed in, and he doesn't do that on very many of 25 these.

1 MR. MARTIN: Yeah. MR. PAISON: Like he normally leaves that to 2 the council to decide, but he actually did chime in on 3 that one. So, yeah. So, that's -- that was a little 4 5 disappointing. 6 CHAIR GRIFFIS: Not everyone's gonna build a \$50 million development. You gotta take your singles, you know, singles and doubles. 8 MS. BERNOUDY: Yeah. 9 10 MR. PAISON: This is a family operation. 11 The gentleman was like, the building, he's like, yeah, 12 this was the first one. I was gonna build this and 13 turn it over to my son to run. 14 MR. MARTIN: Yeah. 15 MR. PAISON: And it would stay in the 16 family. And I'm like, going, yeah, I know how this 17 I've dealt with the Arab American community in 18 Dearborn. That's how they build generational wealth. 19 That's how they do it. And I'm like, and a lot of, 20 you know, like minorities do that, that's how they do 21 It's like, one will start a business, they built 22 the second one, they turned over to the kid to run, 23 because they trust a family member to run it. 2.4 MS. BERNOUDY: Right. 25 MR. PAISON: You know, and then, it builds

up over time. And I'm just like, you gotta stop
thinking that it's all about the big corporations. We
need these small investors. We need that guy on Bush
Street who's gonna do the six condos. We need this
guy who's gonna build the little oil change on these
pieces of property that we have left. We need these
people.

2.4

We need them to come. They're willing to do a smaller project because they're not looking to turn a profit in five years. They're looking to turn a profit like generationally. So, they'll make an investment if they think it's going to pay off for their family over that longer time period. And that's, a lot of that small business, that's how they -- that's how that operates. Their return on investment isn't five years; it's 10, 15, 20 years, maybe. But it's growing.

It's also employment for family members and stuff, too. Like it's all, you know, I came up in a family business. I know how this works. So, you know, it, hopefully we can move the ball on that one. It was an interesting -- the things that were discussed as part of that were interesting.

Then, just talking about next month's meetings, and this is our last item. We got a meeting

next Wednesday, a study meeting. We have four development projects that will be coming in front of you, a couple special land use site plans and a couple of just site plans. So, that's ramping up.

2.4

On the 12th at 3 p.m., that's that day meeting, that's the CIP meeting, where all the departments present. And then, at the end of the month, we'll be bringing back the items from the beginning, the four development went to have their public hearings and for the special land uses, and to have approvals for the site plans and then referrals to council for the special land uses.

So, you know, we've got a, I'd say a fairly full agenda for February.

CHAIR GRIFFIS: Okay.

MR. PAISON: And then, in March, just to look ahead to March. In March, we've got another four or five developments queued up to come in March already. And then, the CIP will come back at the end of March for an actual final recommendation to council on that agenda.

So, we're probably not going to get into these other like zoning updates. I'm working on some drafts and things, but like additional zoning amendments and things, they probably would not really

be coming out in front of you until April. Once we clear the CIP Annual Report development backlog, we'll move into some of these longer-term goals. Because we want to look at the tree ordinance, I want to look at these commercial districts. There's a few things that I'd like to bring forward that I think would be helpful for the way the system runs, and also helpful for our reuse, redevelopment, tax base growth situation.

I will say one of the things that when that 24412 was up, Councilwoman Houge gave a very nice like, because she voted in favor. And she said -- she gave a nice like look, if we want to build a community pool, if we want to have these nice things in the community, we need to be growing the tax base. That's where the money comes to pay for these things.

And I'm like, I always feel refreshed when somebody will say that at a meeting on camera. And Councilwoman Houge, I think did a nice job of explaining that. And like, look, we can't say no to everything. That's what --

MR. MARTIN: Yeah.

2.4

MR. PAISON: She's like, we really cannot be saying no to everything, you know, that can't be the approach we're going to take if we're going to thrive.

```
1
     So, I appreciate that somebody, you know, actually
     like went on the record and said that. That was, I
 2
     thought helpful, at least that it got said.
 3
 4
               MR. MARTIN:
                            I have a question for you, in
     that at the City Council meetings, and even at our
    meetings, there's a lady that comes in. She's here
     for her neighborhood in Southfield.
                                          She's at
    Farmington Hills in her neighborhood, and she's in
 8
    Livonia for her neighborhood.
 9
10
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: On TV.
11
               MR. MARTIN: She did this on TV for these.
12
               MS. BERNOUDY: Oh, I saw that. I saw that.
               MR. MARTIN: She's a -- she's hell bent on
13
14
     sheets in Livonia and Farmington, and she's anything
15
     in Southfield.
16
               MS. BERNOUDY: (INAUDIBLE.)
17
               MR. MARTIN: How can she speak for each and
18
     every community like that?
19
               MR. PAISON: Well, two things. When we have
20
    a public meeting, they don't have to be a resident to
21
     speak. Any member of the public can come and address
22
     a public meeting during the public comment period.
23
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: But she uses a Southfield
2.4
     address.
25
               MR. PAISON:
                            They have a right to do that.
```

1 And under First Amendment, they can say -- they can 2 assert --She uses a post office box. 3 MR. MARTIN: 4 MS. BERNOUDY: She's always (INAUDIBLE) --MR. PAISON: They can assert they represent whoever they want to assert they represent. 6 should always take those with skepticism. MR. MARTIN: 8 Yeah. 9 MR. PAISON: Like even when like a president 10 of a neighborhood association comes to speak on behalf 11 of their association, their board should have passed a resolution sending them to speak on behalf of the 12 13 association. Otherwise, they're just speaking for 14 themselves, and they just happen to be the president of the association. 15 16 You know, that was one of those things where, you know, I've dealt with that in the past, 17 18 where someone asserts their speaking on behalf of the 19 association. When I contact the board of the 20 association, they're like, no, we didn't send them. 21 They were speaking on their own behalf. You know, 22 like, which is fine, they can. They're also a private 23 citizen who can speak, right? 2.4 But I always take -- you gotta take those 25 assertions with a grain of salt. And I think council

```
does to a degree, but they have a right to speak.
 1
     That's, you know, Michigan law, the Open Meetings Act
 2
     and the First Amendment, you really, you know. You're
 3
     not disrupting the meeting. You have a right to
 4
     speak.
 5
               MR. MARTIN: But she disrupts because she
     starts the hand --
 8
               MS. BERNOUDY: Oh, yeah.
 9
               MR. MARTIN: -- the hand clapping and the
10
     amen and all this other crap always.
11
               MR. PAISON: Yeah. And the council
12
    president does rein that in, or tries to as best he
13
     can.
14
               MR. MARTIN: Yeah.
15
               MR. PAISON: I think having an officer at
16
     those meetings also helps.
               MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry?
17
18
               MR. PAISON: I said a having a police
19
     officer at those meetings also helps keep --
20
               MR. MARTIN: Yeah, but she --
21
               (Crosstalk.)
22
               V. CHAIR WILLIS: She has (INAUDIBLE) out of
23
    meetings.
2.4
               MR. MARTIN: Yeah.
25
               MR. PAISON: Yeah, it's unfortunate, but
```

```
1
     that is --
 2
               MR. MARTIN: Not enough.
 3
               MR. PAISON:
                            That's just the nature of
     Michigan's Open Meetings Act.
 4
 5
               MR. MARTIN:
                            Yeah.
                            They have a right to speak, and
 6
               MR. PAISON:
 7
     they don't have to be a resident of the city. You
    know, any member of the public at an open meeting.
 8
 9
               CHAIR GRIFFIS: That's enough for this
10
    meeting.
               This meeting is adjourned at 7:50.
11
               (At 7:50 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION
1
 2
    STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 3
                     ) SS
    COUNTY OF KENT
5
              I, JANICE P. YATES, hereby certify the
 6
    transcription of the foregoing proceedings. These
   proceedings were recorded on video; said video was not
8
    recorded by me nor under my supervision or control. I
9
10
    certify that this is a full, true, complete, and correct
    transcription of the video to the best of my ability.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
                             Janice Jolles
20
21
22
                             JANICE P. YATES, CER-9181
23
                             Notary Public,
2.4
                             Kent County, Michigan
   My Commission expires:
                             December 2, 2029
25
```

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	adea Saa Jah
7	Sider Hack John
8	Andrea Storch Gruber, Secretary
9	
10	
11	
12	Date: 2/19/2025
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	